

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters 47 (2006) 4627-4630

Tetrahedron Letters

Stereoselective total synthesis of (+)-cardiobutanolide and (+)-3-*epi*-cardiobutanolide from diacetone D-glucose[☆]

Palakodety Radha Krishna* and P. V. Narsimha Reddy

D-206/B, Discovery Laboratory, Organic Chemistry Division-III, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

Received 13 February 2006; revised 18 April 2006; accepted 27 April 2006

Abstract—A chiron approach starting with 3-*O*-benzyl-1,2-*O*-isopropylidene- α -D-*xylo*-pentodialdo-1,4-furanose utilizing a Grignard reaction, Mitsunobu stereoinversion, ethyl diazoacetate addition, and selective reduction of the ketone is employed as a key step for the total synthesis of (+)-cardiobutanolide described; a similar strategy is also reported for the first total synthesis of (+)-3-*epi*-cardiobutanolide.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The annonaceae plant family has been under extensive investigation by natural product chemists due to the diverse and potent polyketide constituents it offers.¹ Amongst this family, the most interesting is the genus *Goniothalamus* since a wide range of compounds with varied properties were isolated from it. Of the many natural products isolated, (+)-goniofufurone and its stereoisomers² have been extensively studied synthetic targets not only because of their interesting biological properties³ but also due to their exquisite bicyclic core skeleton. (+)-Cardiobutanolide **1**,⁴ a structurally dissimilar natural product was isolated recently from *Goniothalamus cardiopetalus* along with various styryl-lactones.



An earlier synthesis⁵ of **1** relied on the *anti* boron aldol reaction and asymmetric allylboration of the chiral starting material for generating the additional stereogenic centers. While this manuscript was in preparation, a stereocontrolled asymmetric synthesis of **1** appeared

using D-glucuronolactone as the starting material.⁶ The reported strategy derives stereogenic centers at C(3), C(4), and C(5) from D-glucuronolactone translating as those of C(3), C(4), and C(5) of **1**. As a part of our interest in the synthesis of the butenolide and valerolactone skeleton-containing bioactive natural products,⁷ herein a chiron approach is reported for the synthesis of **1** from an inexpensive starting material, diacetone D-glucose (DAG). The first synthesis of 3-*epi*-cardiobutanolide **2**, a nonnatural product, is also reported wherein ethyl acetate addition resulted in an exclusive isomer, later identified as the C(3)-epimer of **1**, with the remainder of the chiral centers derived from a common intermediate **5** employed for the synthesis of **1**.

Thus, the synthesis (Scheme 1) was begun following the literature procedure.⁸ For instance, the known⁹ 3-Obenzyl-1,2-O-isopropylidene-a-D-xylo-pentodialdo-1,4furanose, obtained from diacetone-D-glucose, on exposure to PhMgBr in THF resulted in 3-O-benzyl-1,2-Oisopropylidene-5-C-phenyl- α -L-ido-pentofuranose 6 as the major product and the desired C(5) epimer 7 as the minor product (78%, 85:15 ratio, respectively). The diastereomers were separated by column chromatography and the major product was subjected to Mitsunobu reaction (p-NO₂C₆H₄COOH/DEAD/TPP/THF) followed by methanolysis (K₂CO₃/MeOH/rt) of the benzoate formed to invert the chiral center, as a simple means of enhancing the chemical yield of 7 (85% over two steps). The gluco configuration at C(5) in 7 was confirmed by comparison with reported data.8 Next the C(5) hydroxyl group in 7 was protected as its benzyl

Keywords: Diacetone-D-glucose; Cardiobutanolide; 3-*epi*-Cardiobutanolide; Mitsunobu stereoinversion; LiEt₃BH reduction.

^{*} IICT communication No. 060101.

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +91 40 27160387; e-mail: prkgenius@ iict.res.in

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.04.146



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) *p*-NO₂-C₆H₄COOH, DEAD, TPP, THF, 0 °C-rt, 6 h; (ii) K₂CO₃, MeOH, rt, 1 h (85% over two steps); (b) BnBr, NaG, THF, 0 °C-rt, 1 h (80%); (c) 30% aq AcOH, reflux, 6 h (70%); (d) LiAlH₄, THF, 2 h 0 °C-rt (65%); (e) TBSCl, imidazole, CH₂Cl₂ (85%); (f) 2,2'-DMP, PPTS, CH₂Cl₂, rt (85%); (g) TBAF, THF, rt (90%); (h, i) (COCl₂, DMSO, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C; EtOAc, LiHMDS, THF, -78 °C, 1 h (60% over two steps); (j) 80% aq AcOH, 6 h (70%); (k) 10% Pd-C/H₂, MeOH (80%).

ether with BnBr in the presence of NaH in DMF to afford **8** (80%). Cleavage of the 1,2-O-isopropylidene group of **8** in refluxing 30% aq AcOH (70%) followed by reduction with LiAlH₄ in THF provided triol **9** (65%). The primary alcohol group of **9** was protected as its TBS ether with TBSCl and imidazole (85%) and the two secondary 1,3-hydroxy groups were protected as the acetonide under conventional reaction conditions using 2,2'-DMP in CH₂Cl₂ catalyzed by PPTS affording **10** (85%). Subsequent desilylation with TBAF in THF gave **5** in 90% yield.

With alcohol **5** in hand, our next task was the two-carbon chain elongation preferably with a terminal ester functional group for later conversion into the lactone functionality. Thus **5** was subjected to Swern oxidation and an EtOAc addition reaction on the resulting alde-

hyde (EtOAc/LiHMDS/THF/-78 °C) to afford product 4 (60%) as a single isomer. To determine the configuration of the newly formed stereogenic center unambiguously, the remainder of the synthesis was continued as planned. β-Hydroxy ester 4 was treated with 80% AcOH to afford a five-membered lactone 11 in 70% yield, which on debenzylation (H₂/Pd-C/MeOH/rt) afforded the final target compound (80%). However, the ¹H NMR, physical data and $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ values did not match with the reported values of the natural product. The ¹H NMR spectrum revealed that two of the protons were more shielded in comparison to those of the natural product (δ 3.82 instead of δ 4.40 and δ 4.53 instead of δ 4.62). Hence it may be assumed that the EtOAc addition gave exclusively the anti product, since the other chiral centers remained untouched. Formation of 4 can be explained by presuming a metal chelated six-membered



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) PDC, CH_2Cl_2 , 4 Å MS, reflux, 8 h (30%); (b) (COCl)_2, DMSO, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , -78 °C; (c) ethyl diazoacetate, BF₃·OEt₂, 4 Å MS, 0 °C-rt (70% for two steps); (d) LiEt₃BH, THF, -78 °C (85%); (e) 80% aq AcOH, 8 h (80%); (f) H₂/Pd-C, MeOH (80%).

transition state leading to *anti* addition.¹⁰ Consequently, (+)-3-*epi*-cardiobutanolide **2** was synthesized instead of **1**.

Exposure of 4 to PDC in refluxing CH_2Cl_2 gave β -ketoester 12 albeit in a low 30% yield (Scheme 2). In order to increase the chemical yield, 5 was subjected to Swern oxidation and then treated with ethyl diazoacetate in the presence of BF₃·OEt₂ and 4 Å MS in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C to afford 12 in 70% yield. Stereoselective reduction of 12 with LiEt₃BH¹¹ in THF at -78 °C gave an easily separable mixture of 3 and 4 in a 95:5 ratio. The spectral data of the minor isomer 4 matched with that of the earlier sample (Scheme 1). Next, 3 was treated with 80% AcOH to give lactone 13 (80%) and finally debenzylation $(H_2/Pd-C/MeOH/rt)$ afforded the natural product **1**, $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +8.5 (*c* 0.4, MeOH) {natural **1**; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +6.4 (*c* 0.28, MeOH)⁴ and synthetic **1**, $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +5.5 (*c* 0.28, MeOH),⁵ $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +9.2 (*c* 1.00, MeOH)⁶} in 80% yield. The physical and spectroscopic data of the synthetic sample 1 were identical to those of the reported natural and synthetic products.

In conclusion, stereoselective syntheses of (+)-cardiobutanolide and (+)-3-*epi*-cardiobutanolide were accomplished by means of a versatile strategy.¹² A combination of a Mitsunobu stereoinversion reaction, ethyl diazoacetate addition and selective 1,2-*syn* reduction was used as the key step to prepare **1**. The synthesis of **2** was accomplished from a common intermediate **5** wherein ethyl acetate addition resulted in an exclusive *anti* product that was further elaborated to the target compound by a comparable reaction sequence.

Acknowledgment

One of the authors (P.V.N.R.) thanks the CSIR, New Delhi, for financial support in the form of a fellowship.

References and notes

- (a) Cavé, A.; Figadére, B.; Laurens, A.; Cortés, D. Prog. Chem. Org. Nat. Prod. 1997, 70, 81–288; (b) Zafra-Polo, M. C.; Figadére, B.; Gallardo, T.; Tormo, J. R.; Cortés, D. Phytochemistry 1998, 48, 1087–1117; (c) Alali, F. Q.; Liu, X. X.; McLaughlin, J. L. J. Nat. Prod. 1999, 62, 504– 540.
- 2. (a) Shing, T. K. M.; Tsui, H. C.; Zhou, Z. H. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 8659-8666; (b) Prakash, K. R. C.; Rao, S. P. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 1505-1510; (c) Murphy, P. J.; Dennison, S. T. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 6695-6700; (d) Ye. J.; Bhatt, R. K.; Falck, J. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 8007-8010; (e) Gracza, T.; Jäger, V. Synthesis 1994, 1359-1367; (f) Yang, Z.-C.; Zhou, W.-S. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 1429-1436; (g) Shing, T. K. M.; Tsui, H. C.; Zhou, Z. H. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 60, 3121-3130; (h) Mukai, C.; Hirai, S.; Kim, I. J.; Kido, M.; Hanaoka, M. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 6547-6560; (i) Cagnolini, C.; Ferri, M.; Jones, P. R.; Murphy, P. J.; Ayres, B.; Cox, B. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 4815-4820; (j) Yi, X.-H.; Meng, Y.; Hua, X.-G.; Li, C.-J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 7472-7480; (k) Chen, W.-P.; Roberts, S. M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 103-105; (l) Tsubuki, M.; Kanai, K.; Nagase, H.; Honda, T.

Tetrahedron **1999**, *55*, 2493–2514; (m) Bruns, R.; Wernicke, A.; Köll, P. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 9793–9800; (n) Mereyala, H. B.; Gadikota, R. R.; Joe, M.; Arora, S. K.; Datidar, S. G.; Agarwal, S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **1999**, *7*, 2095–2103; (o) Surivet, J.-P.; Vatéle, J.-M. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 13011–13028; (p) Su, Y.-L.; Yang, C.-S.; Teng, S.-J.; Zhao, G.; Ding, Y. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 2147–2153.

- Blàzquez, M. A.; Bermejo, A.; Zafra-Polo, M. C.; Cortés, D. Phytochem. Anal. 1999, 10, 161–170.
- Hisham, A.; Toubi, M.; Shuaily, W.; Bai, M. D. A.; Fujimoto, Y. *Phytochemistry* 2003, 62, 597–600.
- Ruiz, P.; Murga, J.; Carda, M.; Marco, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 713–716.
- Matsuura, D.; Takabe, K.; Yoda, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 1371–1374.
- (a) Radha Krishna, P.; Narsingam, M.; Kannan, V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 4773–4775; (b) Radha Krishna, P.; Ramana Reddy, V. V.; Sharma, G. V. M. Synthesis 2004, 2107–2114; (c) Radha Krishna, P.; Ramana Reddy, V. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 3905– 3907.
- 8. Inch, T. D. Carbohydr. Res. 1967, 5, 45-52.
- (a) Jung, M. E.; Shaw, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6304–6311; (b) Anushnab, E.; Venishetti, P.; Leiby, R. W.; Singh, H. K.; Mikkilineni, A. B.; Wu, D. C.-J.; Saibaba, R.; Panzica, P. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 2598–2602.
- Yu, P.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, Z. Y.; Mak, T. C. W.; Wong, H. N. C. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6359–6366; Mengel, A.; Reiser, O. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1191–1223.
- Yu, P.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, Z. Y.; Mak, T. C. W.; Wong, H. N. C. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6359–6366.
- 12. Spectral data of selected compounds. Compound 11: White solid, mp: 118–120 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –84.14 (*c* 0.75, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃); δ 7.41–7.21 (m, 15H), 4.75 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H, J = 10.5 Hz), 4.45–4.33 (m, 4H), 4.12 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz), 4.05 (dd, 1H, J = 2.2, 6.9 Hz), 3.81 (dd, 1H, J = 1.51, 8.3 Hz), 2.79 (dd, 1H, J = 7.5, 18.1 Hz), 2.41 (dd, 1H, J = 6.0, 17.3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃); 174.41, 138.18, 137.46, 137.34, 128.53, 128.35, 128.29, 128.24, 128.00, 127.89, 127.74, 87.59, 80.53, 76.34, 74.21, 73.19, 70.31, 68.01, 37.15; IR (KBr), 3443, 3064, 3030, 2924, 2863, 1753, 1497, 1079 cm^{-1} ; ESIMS; 471 (M+Na)⁺, 466 (M+NH₄)⁺, 449 $(M+H)^+$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{27}H_{28}O_6$: C, 72.30; H, 6.29. Found: C, 72.28; H, 6.32. Compound **2**: Thick syrup; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +26.5 (c 0.7, MeOH); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, acetone- d_6): 7.44 (d, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.22–7.36 (m, 3H), 4.75 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz, 4.53 (d, 2H, J = 2.7 Hz), 3.96 (t, 1H, J = 3.2 Hz), 3.82 (dd, 1H, J = 4.1, 6.8 Hz), 2.84 (m, 1H, overlapped by residual water), 2.29 (dd, 1H, J = 2.7, 17.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, acetone-d₆): 177.3, 144.8, 129.6, 129.0, 128.9, 90.3, 76.6, 75.5, 72.6, 71.0, 39.5; IR (thin film): 3381, 2925, 1766, 1494, 1194 cm⁻¹; HRMS: calcd *m*/*z* 291.0844 (C₁₃H₁₆O₆Na). Found *m*/*z* 291.0833, ppm error -3.9788. Compound **13**: White solid, mp: 138– 140 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -58.6 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃); δ 7.35–7.17 (m, 15H), 4.85 (d, 1H, *J* = 11.3 Hz), 4.44 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz), 4.20–4.36 (m, 4H), 4.10 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 3.97 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz), 3.70 (d, 1H, J =8.0 Hz), 2.55 (dd, 1H, J = 4.0, 16.9 Hz), 2.39 (d, 1H, J = 17.7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃); 175.45, 138.10, $137.75, 137.08, 128.58, 128.49, 128.44, 128.26, 128.06, \\127.94, 85.44, 81.13, 75.46, 74.59, 72.38, 70.46, 68.96, \\$ 38.86; IR (KBr), 3412, 3061, 3030, 2925, 2862, 1777, 1453, 1093 cm^{-1} ; ESIMS; 471 (M+Na)⁺, 466 (M+NH₄)⁺, 449 $(M+H)^+$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{27}H_{28}O_6$: C, 72.30; H, 6.29. Found: C, 72.38; H, 6.21, Compound 1: White crystalline solid; mp: 191–192 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +8.5 (*c* 0.4, MeOH); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, acetone-*d*₆): δ 7.44 (d, 1H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 7.22–

7.33 (m, 4H), 4.80 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 4.62 (br s, 1H), 4.55 (dd, 1H, J = 3.0, 6.7 Hz), 4.40 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 3.96 (d, 1H, J = 6.0 Hz), 2.84 (m, 1H, overlapped by residual water), 2.38 (d, 1H, J = 16.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz,

acetone- d_6): 176.0, 144.0, 129.8, 128.0, 127.0, 88.5, 76.0, 75.3, 71.6, 70.7, 39.0; IR (KBr): 3512, 3476, 2930, 2853, 1760, 1447, 1207 cm⁻¹; HRMS: calcd *m/z* 291.0844 (C₁₃H₁₆O₆Na). Found *m/z* 291.0834, ppm error -3.6353.